was chosen on the basis of statistical tests and confirmed by subsequent results. In the $\beta$ form, the observed systematic absences $0 k l, k=2 n+1, h 0 l, l=2 n+1$, and $h k 0, h+$ $k=2 n+1$, indicated space group Pbcn. Computations were performed using CRYSTALS (Watkin, Carruthers \& Betteridge, 1988) adapted on a MicroVAXII. Scattering factors for all atoms were as incorporated in CRYSTALS. The structures were solved by direct methods. All remaining non-H atoms were found by successive electron-density map calculations. For the $\beta$ (orthorhombic) form, the density map showed two prominent but unequal peaks, one on either side of the plane. The only satisfactory explanation of this was the assumption of a disordered model. Fractional oxygen, referred to as $O(1)$ and $O(2)$, was assigned to these two positions, with occupancy factors summing to unity and with the same isotropic displacement parameters. The refinement of occupancy led to 0.69 for $\mathrm{O}(1)$ and 0.31 for $\mathrm{O}(2)$. Atomic coordinates were refined together with anisotropic displacement parameters [isotropic for $\mathrm{O}(2)$ ]. At this stage, the H atoms were located on a difference electron-density map, their coordinates refined with an overall isotropic displacement parameter and then included as fixed contributors for further structure-factor calculations. Least-squares refinements were carried out by minimizing the function $\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$. The models reached convergence with $R$ and $w R$ having the values listed. The criteria for a satisfactory complete analysis were the ratios of the r.m.s. shift to standard deviation being less than 0.1 and there being no significant features in the final difference maps.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: PAI162). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.
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## Abstract

Coumarin 153, 2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-9-(trifluoromethyl)$1 H, 5 H, 11 H$-[1]benzopyrano[6,7,8-ij]quinolizin-11-one, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, was found to exist in a new polymorphic form in the triclinic system. There is an earlier report of a crystal structure determination of this compound, also in the triclinic system, but with a different cell volume and packing mode [Gridunova et al. (1992). Kristallografiya, 37, 366-372]. There are three molecules in the asymmetric unit of the title polymorph compared with two in the latter case; all three molecules show disorder in the piperidine ring system. The molecules are planar and are stacked parallel to the (011) plane. The crystal structure is stabilized by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds.

## Comment

The title compound, (I), a rigidized aminocoumarin, has attracted extensive study since it has been used as a laser dye (Maeda, 1984; Priyadarsini, Naik \& Moorthy, 1989; Kunjappu, 1993; McCarthy \& Blanchard, 1993). The crystal structure determination of this compound was undertaken as part of our structural studies on laser dyes. In the present study, we found coumarin 153 to crystallize in a new triclinic unit cell (space group $P \overline{1}$ ), with three molecules, $A, B$ and $C$, in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1). This is different from the structure reported earlier by Gridunova et al. (1992), which has a smaller triclinic unit cell (also $P \overline{1}$ ) and only two molecules in the asymmetric unit. This compound has also been found to crystallize in the orthorhombic system (Sivakumar \& Chinnakali, 1991). Recently,

(I)
$\dagger$ On leave from the Department of Physics, Anna University, Madras 600 025, India.
polymorphism has also been observed in other aminocoumarin derivatives (Jasinski \& Paight, 1994; Jasinski \& Woudenberg, 1995).

Bond lengths, angles and selected torsion angles of the three molecules of the title compound are listed in Table 2. The numbering scheme has been kept the same for all three molecules, the second positions of the same atom being represented by primes (e.g. C17 and $\mathrm{C} 17^{\prime}$ ). Bond lengths and angles in the coumarin rings of these three molecules are normal and are in agreement with those observed in other rigidized coumarins, e.g. coumarin 480 (Chinnakali, Sivakumar \& Natarajan, 1990a) and coumarin 314 (Yip, Fun, Sivakumar, Zhou, Shawkataly \& Teoh, 1995), and with the Gridunova polymorph. The coumarin rings are planar, with maximum deviations of 0.087 (2), 0.058 (2) and 0.009 (2) $\AA$ for atom 011 in molecules $A, B$ and $C$, respectively. The angles between the least-squares planes of the pyrone and benzene rings of the coumarin moieties are $2.88(6), 2.24(5)$ and $1.09(7)^{\circ}$ for molecules $A, B$ and $C$, respectively. This apparently shows that for molecules with disorder of both piperidine rings, there is a greater deviation from planarity of their coumarin moieties.


Fig. 1. The structure of coumarin 153 showing the atom-numbering scheme and $30 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids.

The molecules were found to have disorder in the piperidine rings; for molecules $A$ and $B$, both the piperidine rings are disordered at atoms C13 and C17, whereas molecule $C$ has disorder only at atom C13. In the Gridunova polymorph, one molecule has disorder for both atoms C13 and C17, while the other has disorder only at atom C13. The same kind of disorder observed for molecule $C$ was found to be present in coumarin 337 (Chinnakali, Selladurai, Sivakumar, Subramanian \& Natarajan, 1990). So, atom C13 apparently has a greater chance of being disordered than C17. In other words, the piperidine ring comprising atom $\mathrm{Cl3}$ has more tendency towards a flip-flop between the up/down sofa conformation than the other ring containing atom C 17 . The conformations of the piperidine rings in
the present structure are defined by the asymmetry parameters (Nardelli, 1983a) given in Table 3.
The average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ bond length of the trifluoromethyl group in molecules $A, B$ and $C$ is 1.334 (6) $\AA$; the $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angles have average values of $106.3(5)$ and $112.5(6)^{\circ}$, respectively ( $1.335 \AA$, 106.6 and $112.2^{\circ}$ for the Gridunova polymorph). These values also agree with the corresponding values in the structures of coumarin 485 (7-dimethyl-amino-4-trifluoromethylcoumarin; Chinnakali, Sivakumar \& Natarajan, 1990b) and coumarin 503 (7-ethyl-amino-6-methyl-4-trifluoromethylcoumarin; Chinnakali, Sivakumar \& Natarajan, 1992). A considerable difference has been observed in the positioning of the F atoms of the trifluoromethyl groups in our structure compared with that of the Gridunova polymorph, where one of the F atoms lies in the plane of the coumarin moiety and the other two are separated by $120^{\circ}$. In our case, the trifluoromethyl group has been rotated about the C4C19 bond by different magnitudes for the three molecules $A, B$ and $C$ (see torsion angles $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 19-$ F20/F21/F22 in Table 2). As a result of this, the F $\cdots$ F short contact distance between the molecules is longer in our case [F21A‥F20B 3.029 (2) and F21B $\cdots$ F20C 2.961 (2) $\AA$ ] compared with the value of 2.854 (4) $\AA$ in the Gridunova polymorph.

Molecules $A$ and $C$ are linked by two weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds [ $\mathrm{C} 3 A-\mathrm{H} 3 A \quad 0.87(3), \mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 11 C^{\mathrm{i}}$ 2.50 (3), C $3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 11 C^{\mathrm{i}} 3.355$ (3) $\AA, \mathrm{C} 3 A-\mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 11 \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{i}}$ $170(2)^{\circ} ; \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{C} 0.87$ (2), $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O} 11 A^{\mathrm{i}} 2.46$ (2), $\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O} 11 A^{\mathrm{i}} \quad 3.313(3) \AA, \quad \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{11} A^{\mathrm{i}}$ $170(2)^{\circ}$; symmetry code: (i) $\left.-x,-y,-z+1\right]$ and form layers parallel to the (011) plane. Molecule $B$ is also linked to an adjacent molecule $B^{\mathrm{i}}$ by hydrogen bonds of the same type $\left[\mathrm{C} 3 B-\mathrm{H} 3 B 0.93(3), \mathrm{H} 3 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 11 B^{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ 2.42 (3), C3B $\cdots \mathrm{O}^{2} 1 B^{\mathrm{i}} 3.346$ (3) $\AA, \mathrm{C} 3 B-\mathrm{H} 3 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 11 B^{\mathrm{i}}$ 174 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ ].

A comparison of packing modes in the two triclinic polymorphs is shown in Fig. 2. It is evident that in our structure, the stacking of the molecules is $3 \times 3$, whereas in the Gridunova polymorph it is $2 \times 2$, according to the number of molecules present in an asymmetric unit. In the crystal lattice, the molecules are stacked in twodimensional layers parallel to the (011) planes (Fig. 3). We have discussed in our earlier paper (Yip, Fun, Sivakumar, Zhou, Shawkataly \& Teoh, 1995) the fact that the rigidized aminocoumarin molecules are always stacked in parallel planes, with an interlayer distance of around $3.6 \AA$ (coumarin 4803.673 , coumarin 3373.612 and coumarin $3143.624 \AA$ ). The present structure also falls into the same category, with an interlayer distance of $3.768 \AA$ A. The slight increase in the distance is justified by the presence of the trifluoromethyl group at C 4 . In the Gridunova polymorph, the layers are found to be parallel to the (111) planes, with a separation of $3.779 \AA$, and are cross linked by $F \cdots F(2.854 \AA$ ) short contacts between the F atoms of molecules $A$ and $B$.


Fig. 2. The packing of coumarin $1 \overline{5} \overline{3}$ molecules ( $a$ ) in the present study and (b) in the study of Gridunova et al. (1992).


Fig. 3. The packing of the molecules viewed down the $a$ axis.

## Experimental

The compound was purchased from the Sigma Chemical Company and recrystallized from a mixture of methanol and dichloromethane by slow evaporation.

Crystal data
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} & \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation } \\ M_{r}=309.28 & \lambda=0.71073 \AA\end{array}$

Triclinic
$P \overline{1}$
$a=12.068$ (1) $\AA$
$b=13.137$ (1) $\AA$
$c=15.374(1) \AA$
$\alpha=66.76(1)^{\circ}$
$\beta=89.16(1)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=68.82(1)^{\circ}$
$V=2064.9(3) \AA^{3}$
$Z=6$
$D_{x}=1.492 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

## Data collection

Siemens P4 four-circle diffractometer
$\theta-2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: none
7958 measured reflections 6863 independent reflections 4184 observed reflections $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R(F)=0.0419$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.1332$
$S=0.934$
6863 reflections
690 parameters
All H-atom parameters
refined
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0818 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=-0.001$

Cell parameters from 40 reflections
$\theta=5-12.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.125 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293$ (2) K
Transparent block
$0.70 \times 0.38 \times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm}$ Light green

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {int }}=0.0124 \\
& \theta_{\max }=25.0^{\circ} \\
& h=-1 \rightarrow 13 \\
& k=-13 \rightarrow 14 \\
& l=-18 \rightarrow 18
\end{aligned}
$$

3 standard reflections monitored every 97 reflections intensity decay: none

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.241 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.146 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Extinction correction: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993)

Extinction coefficient: 0.0041 (7)

Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1A | 0.03097 (12) | 0.12158 (12) | 0.68120 (9) | 0.0531 (4) |
| C2A | -0.0621 (2) | 0.0872 (2) | 0.71270 (14) | 0.0521 (5) |
| C3A | -0.1716 (2) | 0.1463 (2) | 0.64651 (15) | 0.0515 (5) |
| C4A | -0.1846 (2) | 0.2355 (2) | 0.56038 (13) | 0.0452 (5) |
| C5A | -0.0915 (2) | 0.3695 (2) | 0.44411 (13) | 0.0445 (5) |
| C6A | 0.0044 (2) | 0.4028 (2) | 0.42201 (13) | 0.0432 (4) |
| C7A | 0.1137 (2) | 0.3370 (2) | 0.48768 (13) | 0.0424 (4) |
| C8A | 0.1203 (2) | 0.2406 (2) | 0.57457 (13) | 0.0448 (5) |
| C9A | 0.0203 (2) | 0.2134 (2) | 0.59304 (12) | 0.0420 (4) |
| C10A | -0.0882 (2) | 0.2743 (2) | 0.52998 (12) | 0.0409 (4) |
| O11A | -0.04429 (14) | 0.01096 (15) | 0.79283 (10) | 0.0764 (5) |
| C12A | -0.0046 (2) | 0.5076 (2) | 0.33026 (14) | 0.0611 (6) |
| C13A | 0.1152 (5) | 0.5016 (5) | 0.3008 (4) | 0.0502 (13) |
| $\mathrm{Cl3}^{\prime}$ A | 0.0904 (6) | 0.5477 (6) | 0.3273 (5) | 0.083 (2) |
| C14A | 0.2055 (2) | 0.4698 (2) | 0.3809 (2) | 0.0739 (7) |
| N15A | 0.21032 (14) | 0.36851 (15) | 0.46654 (12) | 0.0525 (4) |
| C16A | 0.3177 (2) | 0.3098 (3) | 0.5370 (2) | 0.0838 (8) |
| C17A | 0.3143 (6) | 0.2297 (7) | 0.6326 (5) | 0.102 (2) |
| $C 17{ }^{\prime} A$ | 0.3417 (5) | 0.1857 (5) | 0.5954 (4) | 0.0580 (14) |



Table 3. Asymmetry parameters $(\AA)$ of the three molecules of (I)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ring } \\ \text { C7,C6,C12,C13,- } \\ \text { C14,N15 } \end{gathered}$ | Molecule | Asymmetry Parameter | Conformation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | $\Delta_{s}($ C7) 0.010 (2) | Sofa |
|  | B | $\Delta_{s}(\mathrm{C} 7) 0.011$ (1) | Sofa |
|  | C | $\Delta_{2}(\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 15) 0.027$ (2) | Half-chair |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 7, \mathrm{C} 6, \mathrm{C} 12, \mathrm{Cl}^{\prime} 3^{\prime},- \\ & \mathrm{C} 14, \mathrm{~N} 15 \end{aligned}$ | A | $\Delta_{5}($ C7) 0.012 (2) | Sofa |
|  | B | $\Delta_{s}(\mathrm{C} 7) 0.008$ (1) | Sofa |
|  | C | $\begin{gathered} \Delta_{2}(\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 6) 0.033(2), \\ \Delta_{s}(\mathrm{C} 7) 0.068(2) \end{gathered}$ | Half-chair/Sofa |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 8, \mathrm{C} 7, \mathrm{~N} 15, \mathrm{C} 16,- \\ & \mathrm{C} 17, \mathrm{C} 18 \end{aligned}$ | A | $\begin{gathered} \Delta_{2}(\mathrm{~N} 15-\mathrm{C} 7) 0.028 \\ \Delta_{s}(\mathrm{C} 7) 0.041(2) \end{gathered}$ | Half-chair/Sofa |
|  | $B$ | $\Delta_{s}(\mathrm{C} 7) 0.018$ (2) | Sofa |
|  | C | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{s}(\mathrm{C} 7) 0.043(1) \\ & \Delta_{2}(\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8) 0.069(1) \end{aligned}$ | Sofa/Half-chair |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} 8, \mathrm{C} 7, \mathrm{~N} 15, \mathrm{C} 16,- \\ \mathrm{C} 17^{\prime}, \mathrm{C} 18 \end{gathered}$ | A | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{s}(\mathrm{C} 7) 0.042(2), \\ & \Delta_{2}(\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8) \quad 0.070(1) \end{aligned}$ | Sofa/Half-chair |
|  | $B$ | $\Delta_{s}(\mathrm{C} 7) 0.018$ (2) | Sofa |

The structure was solved by direct methods using the 'brute force' option (TREF 1000 with 500 reflections), since the structure solution was not possible with default options (SHELXS86; Sheldrick, 1990a). All the disordered atoms were located from the difference Fourier maps. The site occupancy factors of these atoms were refined with isotropic displacement parameters and values ranging from 0.4 to 0.6 were obtained in the early stages and later fixed at 0.5 during anisotropic refinements due to their large correlation with displacement parameters. The H atoms attached to all the normal atoms were located from difference Fourier maps and refined isotropically, while the H atoms attached to the disordered atoms were fixed geometrically and allowed to ride on their respective $C$ atoms with an isotropic displacement parameter $U$ of $0.08 \AA^{2}$.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990a). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC (Sheldrick, 1990b). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93. Geometrical calculations: PARST (Nardelli, 1983b).
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#### Abstract

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H atom coordinates, complete geometry and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KH1030). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.
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## Abstract

The title molecule, $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, consists of a partially hydrogenated acridine ring system with one phenyl substituent on the dihydropyridine ring. The compound crystallizes with a half molecule per asymmetric unit, which has twofold symmetry. The dihydropyridine ring is almost planar, whereas both the outer rings adopt halfchair conformations.

## Comment

Compounds of the acridine type have long been known to be potent frameshift mutagens in viruses and bacteria (Acheson, 1956). Acridines bind to DNA by intercalation (Lerman, 1961; Karle, Cysyk \& Karle, 1980;

